

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

URIEL MARCUS, BENEDICT
VERCELES, and Others Similarly
Situating,

No. C 14-03824 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**SUPPLEMENT TO ORDER RE
PLAINTIFFS' DEPOSITIONS**

APPLE INC,


Defendant.

The Court wishes to add that plaintiffs' failure to attend their depositions by the April 3 deadline will result in an adverse inference. Apple is required to comply with the previous order's deadlines, regardless of whether plaintiffs sit for their depositions. If plaintiffs fail to sit for their depositions by the deadline, they will forfeit their right to interpose testimony contrary to the submissions by Apple.

Plaintiffs' counsel should not have waited until the eleventh hour to seek an extension and has not shown good cause for a postponement. Nevertheless a postponement was granted until April 3. No more extensions will be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 27, 2015.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE